

Timestamp	Which of the following do you support?	Please give the main reason for your choice	Which road do you live at?	On average how many time per week do you cross the bridge on foot or by cycle?	On average how many time per week do you cross the bridge by car or LGV?
2022/10/23 7:51:44 pm GMT	Option 2	Better safety	Devonshire Road	0	4
2022/11/04 12:29:24 pm GMT	Option 2	<p>Having reviewed all the options personally and with my colleagues based at our pavilion in Bathampton it was deemed that the selected option would provide the safest route over the bridge for pedestrians and vehicle users. The reasons for this are: the benefit of the uncontrolled crossing by walking during the 'intergreen' period & the clarity over the right of way. We have concerns that should option 1 be selected there would be confusion over the legal meaning of the yellow box marking as well as the fact that, vehicle dependant, it is often hard to see oncoming vehicles on the other side of the bridge.</p> <p>We also thought it was worth noting that at this time of year when the sun is low in the sky, on a morning, it can be hard to see vehicles on the bridge as you are approaching from the toll bridge side, if option 1 was to be selected there will be certain times of the year when vehicles would struggle to see each other, potentially causing two vehicles to meet with one being forced to reverse. This would be eliminated if option 2 was selected.</p>	King Edward's School, North Road	10	0
2022/11/08 6:28:43 pm GMT	Option 2	Safety of pedestrians	Holcombe lane	2	1
2022/11/08 7:01:58 pm GMT	Option 2	Safety for children to walk across the bridge. I would recommend the lights work in a smart way as not to slow traffic down even more.	Warminster	5	10
2022/11/08 8:13:40 pm GMT	Option 2	Less congestion in the village and safer for school run with single carriageway with controlled entry onto bridge (traffic lights). The other option requires self policing of entry onto bridge resulting in more congestion (air pollution) and potential unsafe manoeuvres (rushing to cross bridge, mounting pedestrian walkway on bridge etc) especially during morning school run that coincides with rush hour.	Holcombe Vale	10	2
2022/11/08 8:17:23 pm GMT	Option 2	I feel it's safer and easier to see with traffic signals	Faulkland road	0	10
2022/11/09 6:08:29 am GMT	Option 2	Pedestrian safety. The lights are a clear solution that pedestrians and drivers will understand. My concern is that traffic calming will encourage more aggressive driving as people compete in rush hour. It doesn't appear to make it safer for school children or the elderly. People often use the road as a sat nag shortcut and don't understand the tight layout. The bridge road is blocked on a weekly basis by inconsiderate drivers and oversize vehicles including business deliveries and network rail.	Holcombe vale	10	1
2022/11/09 11:55:25 am GMT	Option 2	Motorists, especially of cars, will not be able to see vehicles on the other side of the yellow box due to the up and down curvature of the bridge. They will also not be able to see the other side of the yellow box from their side for the same reason. There should also be a crossing built into the lights system, so that people, and especially children, travelling to and from Bathampton School from the direction of the High Street and Bathampton Lane can cross the road at the bridge safely.	Comer of Kennet Park and Bathampton Lane. 1 Kennet Park.	8	4
2022/11/15 1:30:59 pm GMT	Option 2	I live on the Normans and when we walk to school the narrow stretch of road before the bridge is just as much of a problem as the bridge with cars regularly going too fast and going on the pavement.	The Normans	10	2

Timestamp	Which of the following do you support?	Please give the main reason for your choice	Which road do you live at?	On average how many time per week do you cross the bridge on foot or by cycle?	On average how many time per week do you cross the bridge by car or LGV?
2022/11/16 3:59:50 pm GMT	Another Option	Option 2 is better than option 1 but still doesn't offer an LTN 1/20 compliant route through the village. Please look at how to extend this scheme. Children walking to school from the high street won't be able to safely walk to the pavement side of the bridge.	Ringswell Gardens	2	0
2022/11/16 4:08:49 pm GMT	Option 1	Less disruption outside of peak times and less tailback likely.	Holcolmbe Lane	0	2
2022/11/16 4:17:57 pm GMT	Option 2	The temporary lights worked well for the children crossing for school.	Devonshire Road	10	2
2022/11/16 8:41:43 pm GMT	Option 2	Increased safety and reduces the risk of confusion/hesitation/potential conflict amongst drivers with the first option.	Kinsdown but child attends Bathampton Primary School	0	4
2022/11/18 9:21:45 am GMT	Option 1	Traffic lights would be completely out of character for our village. If Option 1 is deemed viable then it surely MUST be the preferred option.	Holcombe Lane	10	6
2022/11/21 7:20:42 pm GMT	Option 2	I don't think option 1 would work because the humpback nature of the bridge and the fact it's on a bend means that drivers can't see if the road ahead is clear when entering the bridge.	Downside Close	10	1
2022/11/21 8:28:11 pm GMT	Option 2	I don't believe option 1 would work because most drivers would not be able to see the other side of the yellow box before they entered it. This is because the "dome" of the bridge is so high.	High Street	1	5
2022/11/28 11:02:47 pm GMT	None of these	My main reason for my choice (neither option) is that I do not believe they will increase pedestrian safety on the canal bridge. Neither appear to directly address safety issues from the pedestrian's perspective. Instead, I am of the view that the Options (particularly Option 2) will increase traffic speeds and volumes (and driving style). Additional reasons include air quality, congestion and other negative impacts elsewhere in the village, and the street scene within the village.	Bathampton High St	8	1
2022/12/03 10:52:00 am GMT	Option 2	I believe this provides the best balance of pedestrian safety and traffic flow	The Chestertons	10	2
2022/12/05 12:22:29 pm GMT	Option 2	Safety for the children will not be prioritised unless proper traffic measures are in place	Hantone hill	10	6
2022/12/05 12:22:57 pm GMT	Option 2	Traffic signals give full confidence that traffic understands what to do, especially for drivers not used to the area. It would be safer for children and a more permanent solution.	Warminster Road	10	2
2022/12/05 12:23:06 pm GMT	Another Option	A new foot bridge would be the only safe option	Hantone Hill	10	4
2022/12/05 12:23:45 pm GMT	Option 2	The traffic is too busy next to the school	London road, Larkhall, Bath (children attend Bathampton school)	7	3
2022/12/05 12:23:46 pm GMT	Another Option	Dedicated foot bridge built otherwise traffic lights	HANTONE HILL	6	4
2022/12/05 1:30:59 pm GMT	Option 2	The bridge is too tight for 2 cars to pass plus pedestrians, especially with school children around. Traffic lights would make it safer, plus adapt to the traffic patterns. I don't live in the village but my children go to the school, and we cross the bridge a lot.	Richmond Place	2	10
2022/12/07 12:20:12 pm GMT	None of these	I don't think traffic lights or gone way will be helpful in the village, there is already enough build up of traffic at times and both options will cause problems accessing Tynning Rd. Ideally there should be a separate pedestrian bridge if it is recognised that the current situation is unsafe.	Tynning Road	10	10

Timestamp	Which of the following do you support?	Please give the main reason for your choice	Which road do you live at?	On average how many time per week do you cross the bridge on foot or by cycle?	On average how many time per week do you cross the bridge by car or LGV?
2022/12/08 12:51:28 am GMT	None of these	<p>The traffic lights are likely to cause tailbacks on the narrow section of the High street (eastbound) - this could cause issues with a stand-off of cars queued on the narrow section but unable to advance in either direction. Also might be issues with access to dark lane and to driveways at the bottom of the High street. Thirdly the traffic lights might actually encourage cars to travel faster over the canal bridge itself, defeating the object of the exercise.</p> <p>Unfortunately the other option of making the bridge hatched wouldn't work - the view for the drivers doesn't allow to see the other dose of the bridge until already committed and the hashing might just cause more confusion for drivers.</p> <p>Making the narrow section at the bottom of the high street more clearly single lane might help, along with streetwear to make appearance more village-like and to discourage the appearance of a thoroughfare.</p>	High Street	6	3
2022/12/10 3:40:29 pm GMT	Another Option	<p>Option One assumes drivers can see beyond the yellow box and proceed with caution. However, on approaching the bridge no driver can see over it (ie a driver can't see beyond the box to know whether to proceed into it). In addition a yellow box would be a blight in a very beautiful part of our village. Option Two would fail to slow down vehicles, and could even be taken by drivers as permission to speed up. Currently drivers approach with caution, whereas a green light tells a driver it is safe to proceed whether they can see clearly or not. In addition there are many tributary roads in the immediate area (my approach is often from Dark Lane) so many different lights would have to be included in the system, making it extremely complicated, and each set of lights would be an blight to a beautiful part of the village. An Alternative Option would be to use clear "Give Way to On-coming Vehicle" signs in key places, clearly signing which direction of traffic has priority. This would be particularly effective on the blind corner on the approach to the bridge (ie next to Mulberry Nursery). There is a point when approaching traffic have a clearer view of oncoming traffic, where the oncoming traffic can't see so clearly. I have experience of this every day and it works successfully every time I give way to traffic approaching the bridge. Give way signs would help enormously and ensure drivers never have to mount the pavement at that point.</p>	High Street, Bathampton	5	10
2022/12/11 10:24:08 am GMT	Option 2	Safety	Warminster Road	2	1
2022/12/11 9:05:45 pm GMT	Another Option	I think it should be explained first why traffic lights are the only feasible option - is this really the case? If they are then Option 2 will have to be pursued as it is the only one that ensures safety - the primary goal of this area of the scheme.	Bathampton Lane	6	4
2022/12/11 9:07:27 pm GMT	Another Option	I think the work on liveable neighbourhoods needs to be completed before choosing an option	Bathampton Lane	5	2
2022/12/11 9:48:31 pm GMT	Option 2	It more dangerous I think now with the crossing person on the bridge as the angle drivers have to stop at coming up onto the bridge then being stopped half way up/over is then putting cars at risk as both coming from different ways meeting in the middle but Also trying to be watching out on each side for pedestrians. It was so much better with traffic lights and the crossing person at the road by church/George car park as that's a dangerous road for children crossing on own as cars do not stop! Put the crossing person back there	Chestertons	7	5

Timestamp	Which of the following do you support?	Please give the main reason for your choice	Which road do you live at?	On average how many time per week do you cross the bridge on foot or by cycle?	On average how many time per week do you cross the bridge by car or LGV?
2022/12/12 7:42:31 am GMT	Option 2	Visibility over the bridge means option 1 just won't work. Constantly amazed how many cars will just go even they can see there isn't space, or are encroaching on the side of the road. Additional pedestrian crossing would still be a better option for both pedestrians and traffic flow.	Minster Way	6	0
2022/12/12 8:08:02 am GMT	Option 2	Traffic lights are clear and unambiguous. Important that they are able to adjust based on traffic.	Bath city centre	2	10
2022/12/12 8:12:56 am GMT	Option 2	It is the safest option to offer protection to all pedestrians, in particular the school families	Warminster Road	10	6
2022/12/12 9:21:55 am GMT	Option 2	Safer	Holcombe Lane	10	2
2022/12/12 9:22:36 am GMT	Option 1	Allows the flow of traffic	Holcombe Lane	5	1
2022/12/12 6:31:47 pm GMT	Option 2	I am not an expert in these matters but a traffic light system appears best. A solution should be considered that doesn't create a dangerous traffic backlog towards Bathampton high street. Cars regularly mount the pavement between the school patrol road sign and the 20/oncoming vehicles sign as they attempt to pass each other. This should be factored. For those walking to school from Bathampton high street, this section is the most dangerous part of the journey.	The Normans, BA2 6TD	10	2
Paper form submitted	Option 2	I would expect low levels of competence with voluntary 'Give Way' sections reducing the safety of Option 1. In addition I have noticed on many occasions vehicles driving recklessly (high speed, on the pavement) over the canal bridge which is dangerous for pedestrians crossing over the bridge. In my opinion a full pavement is needed on both sides of the bridge, with traffic controlled by traffic lights on either side. In addition the pavement by Dark Lane needs to be made longer with a drop kerb (school children with scooters, prams etc). Some change is needed as I expect traffic volumes will increase due to the poor public transport for the Village forcing villagers into cars	Holcombe Lane	4	6
Paper form submitted	Option 2	Unfortunately, I do not feel that a yellow box on the bridge will stop some drivers from driving onto it and disregarding the rules, Only with traffic lights will you force cars/trucks to stop. I also feel strongly that road bumps and additional 'school' signs should be put up along either side of the bridge	Kennet Park	14	2
Paper form submitted	Option 2	I think a box on the bridge is left open to human interpretation which, when rushing, many drivers will ignore, leading to road rage incidents and dangerous driving. Traffic lights are much more straightforward for all. Will there be a safe crossing opportunity on the bridge?	Bathampton Lane	10	10
Paper form submitted	Option 2	I don't think people will stop if there is just a yellow box marking on the bridge. Traffic lights are more effective.	Downside Close	12	4
Paper form submitted	Option 1	Add in yellow hatching on High Street, as per Option 2.			8
Paper form submitted	Option 2	Drivers need to be given firm instructions and expecting all drivers to abide by their own judgement about leaving a box clear doesn't work. Lights are much better	A36	2	0.5
Paper form submitted	Option 1	Box junction would be less intrusive on the historic bridge	Holcombe Vale	4	1
Paper form submitted	Option 1	Don't try to fix a problem that isn't there!	Bathford Hill	5	1
Paper form submitted	Option 1	Traffic speeds would cause back-up of traffic in high St causing fumes/noise/disruption	Suzie Shaw		
Paper form submitted	None of these	We need the Conker Bridge	Holcombe Lane		

Timestamp	Which of the following do you support?	Please give the main reason for your choice	Which road do you live at?	On average how many time per week do you cross the bridge on foot or by cycle?	On average how many time per week do you cross the bridge by car or LGV?
Paper form submitted	Option 2	Safer	Tynning Road	4	6
Paper form submitted	Option 1	Bollards to protect pedestrians crossing near wall ie. safety for children		10	